

2020 Presidential Election: MichiganOct 30 – Oct 31 2020



Methodology & Sample Profile


This study was conducted by AtlasIntel, an independent research & data intelligence firm.

Random interviews of Michigan adults were conducted online between October 30 and October 31 2020. The sample was post-stratified with propensity scores to match the profile of registered voters on the following variables: gender, region, age group, education, family income, and race. Results are reported for 686 likely voters. The margin of error for likely voters is +/-4% at the 95% confidence level. Some percentages do not add up to 100% due to rounding.


More information about AtlasIntel can be obtained by visiting www.atlasintel.org.

		100 March 1980	
	Ger	der	
Female			48.3%
Male			51.7%
	0.0	April 1987	TO THE STATE OF TH
	Age G	iroup	6
18 – 29			14.4%
30 – 44			23.1%
45 – 64			39.5%
65+			23.1%
	_	7994	
	Ra	ce	9
White			74.4%
Black			16.9%
Hispanic			3.0%
Asian			2.5%
Other			3.2%
			-11

Partisanship (self-reported)														
Democrats	32.9%													
Republicans	25.4%													
Independents	41.8%													
Household income														
Below 50k	41.1%													
50k – 100k	32.7%													
Above 100k	26.3%													
Education	NAMES OF TAXABLE PARTY.													
College degree or higher	34.5%													
All other	65.5%													
Vote in 2016 Presidential Election	E-MALASTON MICE.													
Donald Trump	42.3%													
Hillary Clinton	46.3%													
Other, don't remember, didn't vote	11.4%													



	Oct-20
Joe Biden	48
Donald Trump	46
Other	6






Whom will you vote for in the US presidential election?

[Crosstabs]

	Gen	der		Age		Race										
	Men	Women	18 - 44	45 - 65	65+	Asian	Black	Hispanic	Other	White						
Donald Trump	52	40	54	43	39	24	22	49	63	51						
Joe Biden	41	55	37	52	60	76	78	51	33	41						


	Но	ousehold Incor	ne	Partisa	ınship (self-de	clared)		2016 Vote	
							Donald	Hillary	
	Below \$50k	\$50k - \$100k	Above \$100k	Democrat	Independent	Republican	Trump	Clinton	Other
Donald Trump	40	50	50	8	48	92	87	13	26
Joe Biden	51	47	46	92	40	5	8	87	43





Do you approve or disapprove of the job Donald Trump is doing as president?

	Oct-20
Approve	45
Disapprove	50
Don't know	5






Do you approve or disapprove of the job Donald Trump is doing as president?

[Crosstabs]

	Gen	der		Age		Race									
	Men	Women	18 - 44	45 - 65	65+	Asian	Black	Hispanic	Other	White					
Approve	53	37	51	44	38	33	22	49	63	50					
Disapprove	46	53	40	54	57	67	72	51	37	45					

	Ho	ousehold Incon	ne	Partisa	nship (self-ded	clared)
	Below \$50k	\$50k - \$100k	Above \$100k	Democrat	Independent	Republican
Approve	40	46	52	8	46	92
Disapprove	52	48	49	89	44	8





In 2020, AtlasIntel polls for the US democratic primaries had the smallest mean error across all pollsters. AtlasIntel conducted the most accurate polls in New Hampshire, California, and Florida.

lpollster	1	mean errorl
(:	- -	:
IRKM Research and Communications Inc.	1	0.063541
	1	0.06194
Monmouth University	1	0.05217
l YouGov	1	0.050061
Marist College	1	0.049941
	1	0.042541
American Research Group	1	0.042071
Change Research	1	0.04170
Park Street Strategies	1	0.036401
Emerson College	1	0.035671
Suffolk University	1	0.03454
lCiviqs	1	0.033601
David Binder Research	1	0.03040
Data for Progress	1	0.029431
Elucd	1	0.02710
IAtlasIntel	1	0.025941

Performance up	to February	<i>y</i> 12	2020
i ciioiiiiaiice op	, io i c biodi	y 12	2020

Source: G. Elliott Morris, The Economist https://twitter.com/gelliottmorris/status/1227454160813088769

	QUALIFYING POLI	_STERS	
Rank	Pollster	RMSE	# of Polls
1	ATLASINTEL	4.74	7
2	DATA FOR PROGRESS	5.92	23
3	EMERSON COLLEGE	5.50	7
4	MONMOUTH UNIVERSITY	6.27	6
5	CHANGE RESEARCH	6.92	6
6	YOUGOV	6.98	7
7	SWAYABLE	8.04	19
8	UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS LOWELL	11.06	7


Performance up to March 15 2020

Source: Jack Kersting, JHK Forecasts

https://projects.jhkforecasts.com/democratic primary/analysis/

AtlasIntel had the <u>best performance in anticipating the results of the 2019</u>

<u>Presidential Elections</u> in Argentina. We conducted the only poll that predicted the results of all candidates within the margin of error.




Ranking of polls in the 2019 Presidential Elections in Argentina

					2		3		4		5		5		7		8	-	9	1	10	1	11	1	12	1	.3		14	1	15		
	Atlas Intel		Atlas Intel		Atlas Intel CIGP		IGP	UDESA		TresPunto Zero		Ricardo Rouvier		Die	Dicen Oh Pane		Panel!	nel! CELAG		Gustavo Cordoba		Clivajes		Proyección		Opinaia		Federico Gonzales		Circuitos		CB Consultora	
	Result R	esult	Diff.	Result	Diff.	Result	Diff.	Result	Diff.	Result	Diff.	Result	Diff.	Result	Diff.	Result	Diff.	Result	Diff.	Result	Diff.	Result	Diff.	Result	Diff.	Result	Diff.	Result	Diff.	Result	Diff.		
Alberto Fernández	48.1	49.5	1.4	51.4	3.3	51	2.9	52.5	4.4	52.3	4.2	51.9	3.8	52	3.9	52.8	4.7	52.2	4.1	1 53.7	5.6	54.56	6.5	55.3	7.3	54.0	5.9	53.7	5.6	58.0	9		
Maurício Macri	40.4	39.6	0.8	35.1	5.3	34	6.4	34.8	5.6	34.3	6.1	33.2	7.2	33	7.4	33.4	6.5	32.7	7.3	33.2	7.2	33.87	6.5	34.0	6.3	31.5	8.9	30.4	10.0	34.4	5.		
																								0.0									
Roberto Lavagna	6.2	6.7	0.5	7.5	1.3	8	1.8	6.6	0.4	7.6	1.4	10.1	3.9	10	3.8	7.6	1.5	9.8	3.6	8.2	2.0	6.998	0.8	6.4	0.2	8.2	2.0	9.8	3.6	4.5	1.		
Nicolas del Caño	2.2	2.0	0.2	2.2	0.0	3	0.8	2.7	0.5	2.9	0.7	2.2	0.0	2	0.2	2.5	0.3	2.5	0.3	2.8	0.6	2.13	0.0	2.1	0.0	2.3	0.1	3.4	1.2	1.4	0		
Juan José G. Centurión	1.7	1.0	0.7	2.2	0.5	2	0.3	2.1	0.4	1.6	0.1	1.4	0.3	2	0.3	2.5	0.8	1.6	0.1	1.2	0.5	1.318	0.4	1.1	0.6	2.1	0.4	1.5	0.2	1.0	0.		
José Luis Espert	1.5	1.2	0.3	1.7	0.2	2	0.5	1.3	0.2	1.4	0.1	1.3	0.2	1	0.5	1.1	0.4	1.2	0.3	0.9	0.6	1.116	0.4	1.1	0.4	1.9	0.4	1.2	0.3	0.7	0.		
AF / MM Difference	7.7	9.9		16.3		17.0		17.7		18.0		18.7		19.0		19.4		19.5		20.5	5	20.7		21.3		22.5		23.3		23.5			
		2.2		8.6	5	9.3		10.0		10.3		11.0	-	11.3		11.7		11.8	3	12.8		13.0		13.6		14.8		15.6		15.8			

Diferencia entre las encuestas y los resultados en las elecciones 2019

Diferencia



√chequeado



Las encuestas y las elecciones 2019: sólo una consultora brasileña se acercó en el resultado

Translate Tweet



Atlas Tracking PRO

Nationally-representative daily poll with more than 30 questions on the political and economic scenario. The polling is conducted online based on a proprietary methodology for random selection and sample post-stratification and validation.

✓ Currently available for:

North America

- United States
- Mexico

US Swing States

- Arizona
- Florida
- Georgia
- Ohio
- Michigan
- North Carolina
- Pennsylvania
- Texas

South America


- Argentina
- Brazil
- Chile
- Colombia
- Peru

Europe

- France
- Germany
- Netherlands
- Italy
- Spain
- UK



Atlas Tracking PRO is accessible in real time through a secure URL



Atlas Monitor

Atlas Monitor tracks political engagement in social media in real time. We deploy big data tools to transform huge quantities of information into intuitive and useful indicators that reveal the impact of network sentiment on political developments and electoral dynamics. All of our work carefully adheres to the privacy rights of social media users, to platform policies, and to local and international legislation.



Atlas Monitor is accessible in real time through a secure URL

